# Rare Earths

*summary insights based on the aspects investigated*

**AI-Director:** Eric Wassink (VidStance.com)
**Date:** 2026-02-14
**Report No:** VidS-002-01

## 1. Definition, Characteristics, and the Mineral/Metal Distinction

### *What Are Rare Earth Elements?*

Rare earth elements (REEs) are a group of 17 chemically similar metallic elements found in the periodic table[1]. This group comprises the 15 lanthanides–elements with atomic numbers 57 through 71 (lanthanum, cerium, praseodymium, neodymium, promethium, samarium, europium, gadolinium, terbium, dysprosium, holmium, erbium, thulium, ytterbium, and lutetium)–plus scandium (atomic number 21) and yttrium (atomic number 39)[2][8]. Despite their name, rare earth elements are not particularly rare in terms of crustal abundance[1][10]. In fact, cerium is more abundant than copper, and even the scarcest REE, thulium, is more common than gold or platinum[4].

The term "rare" is somewhat misleading and stems from the historical difficulty in isolating these elements rather than their actual scarcity[6]. What makes them genuinely rare is their tendency to occur in low concentrations and their dispersion throughout various minerals, making economically viable extraction challenging[7][9].

### *Unique Characteristics and Properties*

Rare earth elements possess a remarkable set of physical and chemical properties that make them indispensable in modern technology[2]. Their unique electron configurations, particularly the filling of 4f orbitals, give them exceptional magnetic, luminescent, and catalytic properties[3][11].

Magnetic properties are among the most valuable characteristics of REEs. Neodymium, when alloyed with iron and boron, creates the strongest permanent magnets known to science[5]. These neodymium magnets are critical components in electric vehicle motors, wind turbines, and countless electronic devices[12]. Similarly, samarium-cobalt magnets offer high-temperature stability for aerospace applications[13].

Luminescent properties make certain REEs essential for display technologies and lighting. Europium produces the red phosphor in LED screens and traditional cathode ray tubes[14], while terbium generates green phosphors[15]. Yttrium is a key component in white LED lighting and laser technologies[16].

Catalytic properties enable REEs to facilitate chemical reactions in petroleum refining and automotive catalytic converters. Cerium oxide, for example, is widely used in catalytic converters to reduce harmful emissions from vehicles[17][18].

The elements are typically divided into two categories based on atomic weight: light rare earth elements (LREEs)–lanthanum through europium–and heavy rare earth elements (HREEs)–gadolinium through lutetium, plus yttrium[19]. This distinction is important because HREEs are generally more valuable and more difficult to extract[20].

### *The Critical Distinction: Minerals vs. Metals*

Understanding the difference between rare earth minerals and rare earth metals is fundamental to grasping the complexity of the REE supply chain[1][21].

Rare earth minerals are naturally occurring compounds found in the Earth's crust that contain rare earth elements in chemical combination with other elements[22]. The most commercially important rare earth minerals include bastnäsite (a fluorocarbonate mineral), monazite (a phosphate mineral), and xenotime (a yttrium phosphate)[23][24]. Ion-adsorption clays, particularly abundant in southern China, represent another crucial source, especially for heavy rare earths[25].

Rare earth metals, by contrast, are the purified, processed forms of these elements that have been extracted from their host minerals through complex chemical and metallurgical processes[26]. The transformation from mineral to metal involves multiple stages: mining the ore, crushing and concentrating it, chemical separation of individual elements, and finally reduction to metallic form[27][28].

This distinction is not merely academic–it represents one of the most significant bottlenecks in the global rare earth supply chain[29]. While rare earth minerals are mined in various countries including the United States, Australia, and Myanmar, the processing capacity to convert these minerals into separated oxides and pure metals is overwhelmingly concentrated in China[30][1]. China controls approximately 85-90% of global rare earth refining capacity[16], creating a strategic vulnerability for Western nations that depend on these materials for defense and clean energy technologies.

The processing challenge stems from the chemical similarity of rare earth elements, which makes separating them extraordinarily difficult and expensive[12]. Traditional separation methods require hundreds or even thousands of extraction stages, consume vast quantities of chemicals and energy, and generate significant environmental waste[23].

### *Contradictions and Clarifications*

No significant contradictions were found in the source material. However, there is variation in how sources count the total number of REEs–some sources emphasize "15 lanthanides plus scandium and yttrium" while others simply state "17 elements." Both formulations are correct and refer to the same group of elements.

## 2. Geological Formation and Prospecting Techniques

### *Geological Formation of Rare Earth Deposits*

Rare earth elements do not occur as native metals in nature; instead, they are found within specific mineral structures formed through complex geological processes[1]. While REEs are relatively abundant in the Earth's crust, they rarely concentrate into "ore-grade" deposits that are economically viable to mine[7]. Most significant concentrations are associated with three primary geological environments: igneous alkaline rocks, hydrothermal deposits, and secondary weathering deposits[22].

Igneous and Alkaline Formations: The most common primary deposits are found in carbonatites and alkaline igneous rocks[23]. Carbonatites are rare volcanic rocks composed of more than 50% carbonate minerals. As magma cools, REEs–which have large ionic radii–do not easily fit into the crystal lattices of common rock-forming minerals like quartz or feldspar. Consequently, they become concentrated in the remaining "residual" melt, eventually crystallizing into minerals like bastnäsite and monazite[24]. The Mountain Pass mine in the USA and the Bayan Obo mine in China are classic examples of these carbonatite-hosted deposits[30].

Hydrothermal Deposits: These form when hot, mineral-rich fluids circulate through the Earth's crust, leaching REEs from surrounding rocks and redepositing them in concentrated veins or fractures as the fluids cool or change chemically[22].

Secondary and Weathering Deposits: These are formed by the breakdown of primary rocks. Placer deposits occur when heavy minerals like monazite are eroded from their source rock and concentrated by water in riverbeds or beach sands[23]. Perhaps more critical for the modern economy are ion-adsorption clays. These form in tropical or sub-tropical climates where intense chemical weathering breaks down REE-bearing rocks, leaving the rare earth ions "stuck" or adsorbed onto the surface of clay minerals[25]. These deposits are particularly prized because they are rich in heavy rare earth elements (HREEs) and are significantly easier to process than hard-rock minerals[16].

### *Modern Prospecting and Exploration Techniques*

Finding economically viable REE deposits requires a multi-disciplinary approach, moving from broad regional surveys to site-specific analysis[8]. Because REEs themselves are not easily detected from a distance, geologists often look for "pathfinder" elements or geophysical signatures associated with the host rocks[9].

Geophysical Surveys: Since many REE-bearing minerals (like monazite) contain trace amounts of radioactive thorium or uranium, radiometric surveys are a primary tool[8]. Airborne sensors detect gamma-ray emissions, allowing explorers to map potential deposits over vast areas. Additionally, magnetic surveys help identify the alkaline and carbonatite complexes that often host these minerals, as these rock types frequently have distinct magnetic signatures compared to the surrounding crust[9].

Geochemical Sampling: Once a potential area is identified, geologists conduct soil and stream sediment sampling[8]. By analyzing the chemical composition of these samples, they can trace "anomalies"–higher-than-normal concentrations of REEs–back to their source. Advanced portable X-ray fluorescence (XRF) devices now allow for real-time chemical analysis in the field, significantly speeding up the prospecting process[10].

Remote Sensing: Satellite imagery and hyperspectral sensors are increasingly used to identify the specific alteration patterns in vegetation or soil that can indicate the presence of alkaline rocks or hydrothermal systems near the surface[8].

Drilling and Modeling: The final and most expensive stage involves diamond core drilling[9]. This provides physical samples from deep underground, allowing geologists to create 3D models of the ore body. This modeling is essential for determining the "grade" (concentration) and "tonnage" (total volume) of the deposit, which ultimately dictates whether a mine is financially feasible[7].

### Contradictions and Clarifications

There is a notable distinction in the sources regarding the "ease" of prospecting. While some sources highlight the effectiveness of radiometric pathfinders (thorium/uranium)[8], others caution that not all REE deposits are radioactive, meaning a lack of radiation does not necessarily rule out a deposit[22]. Furthermore, while ion-adsorption clays are "easier" to mine physically, their discovery is more dependent on specific climatic and soil-science data rather than traditional hard-rock geophysical methods[25].

## 3. The Processing Chain: From Extraction to Refining

### The Multi-Stage Journey from Ore to Oxide

The transformation of raw rare earth ore into high-purity industrial materials is one of the most complex and chemically intensive processes in the mining industry[1]. Unlike gold or iron, which can be separated relatively easily, rare earth elements (REEs) are chemically "twins," making their isolation a significant technical hurdle[12]. The processing chain is generally divided into three major phases: beneficiation, chemical cracking (leaching), and individual separation[21].

1. Beneficiation (Physical Concentration): The process begins at the mine site, where the ore–often containing only 1% to 10% rare earth minerals–is crushed and ground into a fine powder[27]. Through a process called froth flotation, chemicals are added to a slurry of the powder and water. These chemicals cause the rare earth minerals to stick to air bubbles and float to the surface, where they are skimmed off as a "concentrate"[22]. This stage increases the REE content significantly, but the elements are still locked within their mineral structures[23].

2. Chemical Cracking and Leaching: The concentrate must then be "cracked" to break the chemical bonds between the REEs and the host minerals (like phosphates or carbonates)[26]. This often involves acid roasting, where the concentrate is mixed with concentrated sulfuric acid and heated to high temperatures[23]. The resulting material is then dissolved in water (leaching), creating a solution of mixed rare earth salts[27]. At this stage, impurities like thorium, uranium, and iron are removed, leaving behind a "mixed rare earth carbonate" or "mixed oxide"[28].

3. Individual Separation (The Great Bottleneck): This is the most difficult stage. Because REEs have nearly identical chemical properties, they cannot be separated by standard chemical reactions[12]. The industry standard is solvent extraction (SX)[29]. In this process, the mixed solution is passed through hundreds or even thousands of "mixer-settler" tanks[23]. In each tank, the solution is mixed with organic solvents that have a slightly higher affinity for one specific REE over another[29]. Through thousands of repetitions, the elements are gradually "sifted" apart until they reach purities of 99.9% or higher[26].

### From Oxides to Metals and Magnets

Once separated, the elements are typically in the form of rare earth oxides (powders)[21]. While some applications use oxides directly (like polishing powders or catalysts), the most high-tech uses–especially permanent magnets–require the elements in metallic form[26].

Converting an oxide to a metal requires molten salt electrolysis or metallothermic reduction[28]. In electrolysis, the oxide is dissolved in a bath of molten fluoride salts and subjected to a high-intensity electric current, which causes the pure metal to deposit at the cathode[27]. This stage is extremely energy-intensive and requires specialized equipment that is currently concentrated almost entirely in China[30].

Finally, these pure metals are alloyed (for example, neodymium with iron and boron) and processed into their final forms, such as sintered magnets or high-performance alloys[12].

### Innovations and Environmental Considerations

The traditional solvent extraction method is criticized for its massive environmental footprint, requiring vast amounts of toxic acids and generating large volumes of wastewater[23]. Consequently, new "green" processing technologies are being explored. These include ion-exchange chromatography, which can separate elements with fewer chemical stages, and bio-leaching, which uses specialized bacteria to extract REEs from ore or recycled waste[3]. Additionally, some new refineries are implementing "closed-loop" systems to recycle the acids and water used in the SX process, significantly reducing the waste output[29].

### Contradictions and Clarifications

There is a slight variation in the description of the "cracking" process. While most sources focus on sulfuric acid roasting[23], some newer projects (particularly those dealing with ionic clays) emphasize in-situ leaching, where chemicals are pumped directly into the ground to dissolve the REEs without traditional mining[25]. It is important to note that while in-situ leaching avoids the "crushing and grinding" stage, it carries higher risks of groundwater contamination if not strictly managed[23].

## 4. Environmental Impact and Health Effects

### *The Environmental Cost of "Green" Technology*

The extraction and processing of rare earth elements (REEs) present a profound environmental paradox: while these materials are essential for clean energy technologies like wind turbines and electric vehicles, their production is historically one of the most polluting industrial activities[1][23]. The environmental impact is concentrated in three main areas: radioactive waste management, chemical pollution from processing, and landscape destruction[22].

### *Radioactive Waste and Thorium:*

One of the most significant environmental challenges is that REE-bearing minerals, particularly monazite and bastnäsite, often contain naturally occurring radioactive elements, primarily thorium and sometimes uranium[23]. During the "cracking" and leaching stages of processing, these radioactive elements are separated from the REEs and concentrated in the waste material, known as tailings[27]. If these tailings are not stored in lined, secure facilities, the radioactive material can leach into the soil and groundwater or be dispersed as dust by the wind[23]. The management of thorium was a primary reason for the closure of many Western REE facilities in the late 20th century, as the cost of environmental compliance became prohibitive[30].

### *Chemical Pollution and Acid Runoff:*

The separation of individual REEs requires massive quantities of toxic chemicals, including concentrated sulfuric acid, hydrochloric acid, and ammonium sulfate[29]. In many traditional processing sites, particularly in regions with lax regulation, wastewater containing these acids and heavy metals has been discharged directly into local waterways[23]. This leads to the acidification of rivers, the destruction of aquatic ecosystems, and the contamination of irrigation water used for agriculture[25].

### *Landscape Destruction and In-Situ Leaching*

In the production of heavy rare earths from ion-adsorption clays, a technique called in-situ leaching is often used[25]. This involves pumping ammonium sulfate directly into the ground to dissolve the REEs. While this avoids the need for massive open-pit mines, it can lead to catastrophic groundwater contamination and soil erosion, often leaving behind "ghost mountains" where the vegetation has been stripped and the soil structure destroyed[23].

### *Health Effects on Communities and Workers*

The environmental degradation caused by REE production translates directly into severe health risks for workers and nearby populations[8].

### *Respiratory and Skin Diseases*

Workers in mines and processing plants are frequently exposed to fine dust containing both REEs and radioactive particles[8]. Chronic inhalation of this dust can lead to pneumoconiosis (lung disease) and other respiratory issues[9]. Furthermore, direct contact with the acidic chemicals used in the separation process causes severe skin burns and long-term dermatological conditions[23].

### *Long-term Systemic Health Risks*

Communities living near poorly regulated REE facilities have reported elevated rates of serious illnesses[8]. Exposure to thorium and uranium through contaminated water or dust is linked to increased risks of cancer, particularly lung, pancreatic, and bone cancers[23]. Additionally, the presence of heavy metals in the food chain can lead to neurological damage and developmental issues in children[9]. In some regions of China, villages near REE processing hubs have been colloquially referred to as "cancer villages" due to the disproportionately high mortality rates[23].

### *The Shift Toward "Green Mining" and Remediation*

In response to international pressure and domestic environmental crises, the industry is beginning to adopt more sustainable practices[3].

### *Improved Waste Management*

Modern refineries, such as the Lynas facility in Malaysia or the restarted Mountain Pass mine in the US, utilize advanced tailings management systems[30]. These include "dry stack" tailings, which reduce the risk of dam failures, and sophisticated water treatment plants that recycle up to 90% of the water used in processing[29].

### *Technological Innovations*

New separation technologies, such as bio-leaching (using bacteria) or organic solvent alternatives, aim to eliminate the need for the most toxic acids[3]. Furthermore, there is an increasing focus on circular economy models, where REEs are recovered from end-of-life electronics and magnets, a process that has a significantly lower environmental and health footprint than primary mining[3].

### *Contradictions and Clarifications*

A point of contention in the sources is the "cleanliness" of modern Western mines versus older operations. While some sources argue that new Western projects are "environmentally pristine"[30], others point out that even with the best technology, the fundamental chemistry of REE separation remains energy-intensive and produces waste that requires monitoring for centuries[23]. There is also a debate regarding thorium; while it is currently treated as a waste liability, some sources suggest it could eventually be used as a fuel for next-generation nuclear reactors, potentially turning an environmental burden into an asset[22].

## 5. China's Monopoly and Illegal Mining

### *The Architecture of China's Dominance*

China's current dominance in the rare earth element (REE) market is not an accident of geography, but the result of decades of deliberate state strategy[4]. While China does possess significant deposits–notably the massive Bayan Obo mine in Inner Mongolia–its true power lies in its control over the mid-stream processing and refining stages[30]. This strategic focus was famously summarized by Deng Xiaoping in 1992: "The Middle East has oil, China has rare earths"[4].

### *Strategic Consolidation*

To maintain control and stabilize prices, the Chinese government consolidated dozens of smaller producers into six large, state-owned enterprises (SOEs)[16]. More recently, in 2021, China further merged three of these giants to create the China Rare Earth Group, a move designed to increase the state's bargaining power on the global stage and better manage production quotas[30].

### *The Quota System*

China manages its REE industry through a strict system of production and export quotas[16]. By limiting the amount of material that can be exported, China incentivizes international high-tech manufacturers to move their factories into China to ensure a stable supply of raw materials[4]. This has effectively allowed China to move up the value chain, from being a mere exporter of dirt to a dominant force in magnet manufacturing and green technology[30].

### *The Shadow Industry: Illegal Mining and Smuggling*

Despite strict state controls, a massive "black market" for rare earths has historically plagued the industry, at times accounting for as much as 25-40% of China's total output[23].

### *Environmental Arbitrage*

Illegal mining operations often use the most destructive methods, such as unregulated in-situ leaching, because they do not invest in waste treatment or environmental remediation[23]. These "off-the-books" mines can produce REEs at a much lower cost than regulated SOEs, which are increasingly burdened by new environmental standards[25]. This illegal supply often finds its way into the global market through "laundering" schemes, where it is mixed with legal production or smuggled across borders[23].

### *The Myanmar Connection*

As China has cracked down on domestic illegal mining to protect its environment, much of the "dirty" production has shifted across the border into Myanmar[25]. Myanmar has become a critical source of heavy rare earths for Chinese refineries. However, these mines are often controlled by local militias and operate with virtually no environmental or labor oversight, leading to severe local pollution and human rights concerns[23].

### *Weaponization of the Supply Chain*

The global community became acutely aware of the risks of China's monopoly during the 2010 Senkaku Islands dispute, when China reportedly halted REE exports to Japan[10]. Although China officially denied a formal embargo, the resulting price spike sent shockwaves through the global tech industry and prompted the US, EU, and Japan to begin seeking alternative sources[4].

In recent years, China has introduced new Export Control Laws, which allow the government to restrict exports of "controlled items" (including REEs) on the grounds of national security[10]. This is widely viewed as a counter-measure to US trade restrictions on Chinese semiconductor technology, signaling that REEs remain a potent tool in China's geopolitical arsenal[30].

### *Contradictions and Clarifications*

There is a debate in the sources regarding the current scale of illegal mining. Some sources suggest that China's recent "Blue Sky" environmental crackdowns and the consolidation into the China Rare Earth Group have successfully eliminated most domestic illegal mining[30]. However, other sources argue that the problem hasn't been solved but merely outsourced to Myanmar, meaning the "illegal" material is still entering the Chinese supply chain, just as an import rather than domestic production[25][23].

## 6. Geopolitics and US Strategy (Trump Administration)

### *The Awakening of US Strategic Policy*

The United States' approach to rare earth elements (REEs) underwent a fundamental shift during the Trump administration, moving from a market-driven perspective to one of urgent national security[4]. This shift was triggered by the realization that the US military and high-tech economy were almost entirely dependent on a strategic rival–China–for materials essential to modern warfare and industrial competitiveness[10].

### *Executive Orders and National Emergencies*

In 2017, President Trump issued Executive Order 13817, which directed the Department of the Interior to identify "critical minerals" and develop a strategy to reduce reliance on foreign sources[30]. This was followed in 2020 by Executive Order 13953, which declared a national emergency in the mining industry, specifically targeting the "undue reliance" on China for critical minerals as a threat to national security[16]. These orders paved the way for federal grants and low-interest loans to jumpstart domestic mining and processing[4].

### *The Defense Imperative and the Pentagon's Role*

The US Department of Defense (Pentagon) became a primary driver of REE strategy because rare earths are vital for advanced weaponry[10]. Each F-35 fighter jet requires approximately 417 kg of rare earth materials, and Virginia-class submarines use nearly 4,000 kg[4].

### *Direct Investment in Processing*

Under the Trump administration, the Pentagon began using the Defense Production Act (DPA) to directly fund domestic processing capabilities[30]. This included multi-million dollar awards to MP Materials to restore separation capabilities at the Mountain Pass mine in California and to Lynas Rare Earths (an Australian company) to build a heavy rare earth separation facility in Texas[16]. The goal was to ensure that even if China cut off supplies, the US military would have a "secure and reliable" domestic source for magnet-grade oxides[10].

### *Strategic Stockpiling*

The administration also revitalized the National Defense Stockpile, directing the Defense Logistics Agency to increase holdings of processed rare earth metals and alloys, rather than just raw ores, to provide a buffer against sudden supply chain disruptions[4].

### *Trade War and "Decoupling"*

The REE issue became a central pillar of the broader US-China trade war[30]. When the US imposed tariffs on Chinese goods, China retaliated by threatening to restrict REE exports, with state media famously using the phrase "Don't say we didn't warn you"[10].

This tension accelerated the concept of "friend-shoring"–building supply chains exclusively with allied nations[30]. The US entered into strategic partnerships with Australia and Canada to coordinate mineral exploration and processing standards, effectively attempting to build a "China-free" supply chain for critical minerals[16].

### *Contradictions and Clarifications*

A point of debate in the sources is the effectiveness of the "America First" mining policy. While some sources credit the Trump administration with successfully reviving the Mountain Pass mine[30], others point out a significant irony: during the Trump years, MP Materials was still forced to send its concentrated ore to China for final processing because the US lacked the chemical separation facilities to handle it[1][16]. Furthermore, while the rhetoric focused on "decoupling," the data shows that US imports of Chinese rare earths actually increased during certain periods of the trade war as companies scrambled to stockpile material in anticipation of an embargo[10].

## 7. Global Distribution and New Market Entrants

### *Mapping the Global Rare Earth Landscape*

While China currently dominates production, rare earth elements (REEs) are geographically dispersed across every continent[1]. As geopolitical tensions rise, the global map of REE reserves is being re-evaluated, revealing significant potential in nations that have historically been minor players or dormant in the sector[4].

### The Major Reserve Holders

According to the United States Geological Survey (USGS), while China holds the largest reserves (approx. 44 million metric tons), other nations possess substantial untapped wealth[16]. Vietnam and Brazil both hold approximately 21-22 million tons each, yet their current production is a fraction of China's[4]. Russia also holds significant reserves (12 million tons), though international sanctions have complicated its role in the global supply chain[10]. India and Australia follow, with Australia being the most successful at converting its reserves into active, Western-aligned production through companies like Lynas Rare Earths[30].

### Emerging Frontiers and New Market Entrants

The race to diversify the supply chain has led to a surge in exploration and the fast-tracking of new mining projects across the globe[16].

### Southeast Asia (Vietnam and Myanmar)

Vietnam is increasingly seen as the most viable alternative to China for heavy rare earths due to its similar geological formations (ion-adsorption clays)[25]. However, the country faces significant hurdles in processing technology and environmental regulation[23]. Myanmar, as previously noted, has become a massive producer, but its output is largely tied to Chinese supply chains and lacks transparency[25].

### The African Potential

Africa is emerging as a major frontier for REE exploration. In Angola, the Longonjo project is being developed as one of the world's highest-grade neodymium-praseodymium (NdPr) deposits[16]. Malawi and Burundi also host significant carbonatite deposits, with projects like Songwe Hill moving toward production[22]. South Africa's Steenkampskraal mine is notable for having some of the highest grades of REEs in the world, along with significant thorium content[23].

### Greenland and the Arctic

Greenland holds world-class deposits, such as the Kvanefjeld project, which contains both REEs and uranium[22]. However, these projects have become flashpoints for local political debate regarding the environmental risks of mining in pristine Arctic ecosystems, leading to significant delays and permit cancellations[23].

### Turkey's Recent Discovery

In 2022, Turkey announced the discovery of a massive REE deposit in the Eskişehir region, claiming it could be the second-largest in the world after Bayan Obo[16]. While the scale of this deposit is still being verified by international standards, it represents a potential shift in the Mediterranean supply landscape[10].

### The Challenge for New Entrants

The primary barrier for new market entrants is not finding the ore, but the "processing gap"[29]. Most new mines outside of China are currently forced to sell their concentrate to Chinese refineries because they lack the capital and technical expertise to build their own separation facilities[30]. To counter this, new entrants like Iluka Resources in Australia and MP Materials in the US are investing heavily in integrated "mine-to-oxide" facilities to ensure they can bypass the Chinese monopoly entirely[16].

### Contradictions and Clarifications

There is a significant discrepancy in how "reserves" are reported. For example, Turkey's claim of a "world-leading" deposit has been met with skepticism by some Western analysts who argue that the grade (concentration) of the ore is more important than the total tonnage[16]. A large, low-grade deposit may never be economically viable compared to a smaller, high-grade mine[7]. Additionally, while Vietnam has the reserves to rival China, sources disagree on how quickly it can scale production without relying on Chinese technical assistance, which would defeat the purpose of diversification[25].

## 8. Critical Elements and Supply Chain Vulnerabilities

### Identifying the "Most Critical" Elements

While all 17 rare earth elements (REEs) are important, a small subset is considered "highly critical" due to their essential role in high-growth technologies and the extreme difficulty in finding substitutes[4]. The most critical are those used in the production of permanent magnets, which are the heart of electric vehicle (EV) motors and wind turbine generators[12].

### The Magnet Metals (NdPr)

Neodymium (Nd) and Praseodymium (Pr), often referred to together as NdPr, are the primary drivers of the REE market[16]. Neodymium is the key ingredient in the world's strongest magnets, while praseodymium is often added to improve the magnet's corrosion resistance and mechanical strength[5]. Because the demand for EVs is projected to grow exponentially, NdPr is frequently cited as the element most likely to face a structural supply deficit by 2030[29].

### *The Heavy Stabilizers (Dy and Tb)*

Dysprosium (Dy) and Terbium (Tb) are even more critical from a supply security perspective[19]. These heavy rare earth elements (HREEs) are added to neodymium magnets to allow them to operate at high temperatures without losing their magnetism–a requirement for automotive and industrial motors[20]. Unlike NdPr, which is found in many deposits globally, Dy and Tb are primarily sourced from ion-adsorption clays in Southern China and Myanmar, making their supply chain exceptionally fragile and concentrated[25].

### *Structural Vulnerabilities in the Supply Chain*

The vulnerability of the REE supply chain is not just about where the rocks are in the ground; it is about the "choke points" in the industrial process[29].

### *The Processing Choke Point*

As previously noted, China's control over 85-90% of global separation and refining capacity is the single greatest vulnerability for Western nations[30]. Even if a US or European company mines its own ore, a disruption in Chinese refining capacity (due to geopolitics, natural disasters, or policy changes) would render that ore useless for high-tech manufacturing[1].

### *The Magnet Manufacturing Monopoly*

A secondary, often overlooked vulnerability is the manufacturing of the magnets themselves[12]. China controls over 90% of the global production of sintered neodymium magnets[16]. This means that even if the US successfully refines its own neodymium metal, it currently lacks the industrial infrastructure to turn that metal into the finished magnets required by its defense and automotive sectors[30].

### *The "Just-in-Time" Risk*

Many high-tech industries operate on "just-in-time" supply chains with very low inventories of critical materials[29]. Because REE markets are relatively small and opaque compared to copper or aluminum, a sudden export restriction or a shipping lane disruption could cause immediate production halts in the automotive and aerospace industries[10].

### *Mitigation Strategies and Resilience*

To address these vulnerabilities, governments and corporations are pursuing several strategies:

Strategic Stockpiling: Increasing physical reserves of separated oxides and metals to provide a 6-to-12-month buffer[4].

Vertical Integration: Encouraging mining companies to build their own refineries and magnet plants (e.g., MP Materials' "Mine-to-Magnet" strategy in the US)[16].

Supply Chain Transparency: Using blockchain and digital "passports" to track the origin of REEs, ensuring they are not sourced from illegal or high-risk regions like Myanmar[23].

### *Contradictions and Clarifications*

There is a debate regarding the "criticality" of Light Rare Earths like Lanthanum and Cerium. While some sources list them as critical because they are produced alongside neodymium[19], others argue they are actually in oversupply[16]. Because they must be mined to get to the neodymium, the industry often produces more Lanthanum and Cerium than the market needs, leading to low prices and even the stockpiling of these elements as waste[29]. This "co-production" problem means that the economics of a mine are often dictated by only 20-30% of its output (the NdPr), while the rest is a financial burden[7].

## 9. Alternative Technology and Substitution

### *The Drive for Rare Earth Independence*

As the geopolitical and environmental costs of rare earth elements (REEs) rise, industries are increasingly investing in "de-risking" their supply chains through substitution and alternative engineering[4]. This effort is primarily focused on the electric vehicle (EV) sector, which is the largest consumer of high-performance neodymium magnets[12].

### *Material Substitution (The Search for New Magnets)*

Researchers are exploring several materials that could potentially replace neodymium-iron-boron (NdFeB) magnets. One promising candidate is iron nitride, which theoretically offers magnetic properties superior to neodymium without using any rare earths[5]. Another approach involves ferrite magnets (made from iron oxide and barium or strontium). While ferrite magnets are significantly weaker and heavier than REE magnets, they are vastly cheaper and more abundant[16]. Some manufacturers are now designing larger motors that use these heavier, cheaper magnets to achieve the same power output as smaller REE-based motors[12].

### *Reducing "Heavy" Rare Earths*

A more immediate strategy is "partial substitution"–reducing the amount of expensive heavy rare earths (HREEs) like dysprosium and terbium[20]. Through a process called grain boundary diffusion, manufacturers can apply dysprosium only to the edges of the magnet grains where it is most needed for heat resistance, rather than mixing it throughout the entire magnet[29]. This can reduce HREE usage by up to 50-80% while maintaining high-temperature performance[19].

### *Engineering Alternatives: Magnet-Free Motors*

Perhaps the most significant shift is occurring in motor architecture, where engineers are designing systems that do not require permanent magnets at all[12].

### *Induction Motors*

Originally popularized by Tesla in its early models, induction motors use copper coils to create a magnetic field through electricity rather than relying on the inherent magnetism of REEs[12]. While these motors are highly reliable, they are generally less efficient at low speeds and generate more heat than permanent magnet motors[29].

### *Externally Excited Synchronous Motors (EESM)*

Companies like BMW and Renault have moved toward EESMs, which use a "brushed" or "brushless" rotor that is energized by an electric current to create a magnetic field[12]. This design completely eliminates the need for rare earths. While more complex to manufacture, these motors allow for precise control over the magnetic field, improving efficiency across a wider range of speeds[16].

### *The Performance Trade-Off*

The primary challenge of substitution is the "energy density" trade-off[2]. Rare earth magnets allow for motors that are smaller, lighter, and more efficient, which directly translates to longer driving ranges for EVs and smaller footprints for wind turbines[12]. Moving away from REEs often results in a heavier vehicle or a less efficient turbine, which can increase the overall cost of the system or require larger batteries to compensate for the loss in efficiency[29].

### *Contradictions and Clarifications*

There is a notable contradiction in the sources regarding the "death" of rare earth magnets. While some headlines suggest that Tesla's announcement of a "next-generation permanent magnet motor with zero rare earths" signals the end of the REE era[12], industry analysts point out that for high-performance applications–such as fighter jets, high-speed rail, and premium EVs–the power-to-weight ratio of neodymium magnets remains unmatched[16]. Most sources agree that while substitution will reduce dependence on China, it will not eliminate the demand for REEs in the foreseeable future[4][30].

## 10. Recycling and Economic Considerations

### *The Potential and Pitfalls of Recycling*

Recycling rare earth elements (REEs), often referred to as "urban mining," is frequently cited as a key solution to supply chain vulnerabilities and environmental degradation[3]. However, despite the massive amount of REEs currently embedded in consumer electronics and industrial machinery, the global recycling rate for these elements remains strikingly low–estimated at less than 1%[4].

### *The Technical Challenge*

The primary barrier to recycling is the "dilution" of REEs within complex products[3]. In a typical smartphone, rare earths are used in tiny quantities in the speakers, vibration motors, and screen phosphors[5]. Manually extracting these components is labor-intensive, and chemically separating the REEs from the surrounding plastics, glass, and other metals is often more expensive and energy-intensive than primary mining[29].

### *High-Yield Targets*

The most economically viable recycling targets are large-scale industrial components, such as the permanent magnets found in wind turbine generators and electric vehicle (EV) motors[12]. These components contain concentrated amounts of neodymium and dysprosium, making them much easier to process[20]. New "hydrogen decrepitation" techniques allow recyclers to break down these magnets into a powder that can be directly re-processed into new magnets, bypassing the most toxic chemical separation stages[3].

### *Economic Drivers and Market Volatility*

The economics of the rare earth industry are notoriously volatile, driven by China's production quotas and the relatively small size of the global market[10].

### *Price Manipulation and Investment Risk*

A major hurdle for new Western mining projects is "price risk"[16]. Historically, when Western competitors have attempted to enter the market, China has occasionally increased production to lower global prices, making the higher-cost Western mines financially unviable[4]. This predatory pricing makes it difficult for new projects to secure the hundreds of millions of dollars in private investment required to build a mine and refinery[29].

### *The Role of Government Subsidies*

Because the market often fails to account for the "national security value" of REEs, many new projects now rely on government intervention[30]. In the US, Australia, and the EU, governments are providing direct grants, tax credits, and "offtake agreements" (guaranteed purchases) to ensure that domestic producers can survive even if market prices drop[16].

### *The Circular Economy and Future Outlook*

The transition to a "circular economy" for rare earths requires a fundamental shift in product design[3]. If electronics were designed for "easy disassembly," the cost of urban mining would plummet[29]. Furthermore, as the first generation of EVs and large-scale wind farms reach the end of their 15-to-20-year lifespans, a massive "secondary supply" of rare earths will become available, potentially providing up to 20-25% of global demand by 2040[12].

### *Contradictions and Clarifications*

There is a disagreement in the sources regarding the immediate impact of recycling. While some environmental advocates suggest that recycling could replace the need for new mines almost immediately[3], industry analysts argue that because the demand for REEs is growing so rapidly (driven by the energy transition), even a 100% recycling rate of current waste would not be enough to meet future demand[16]. Therefore, most experts conclude that recycling is a necessary supplement to mining, but not a total replacement for it in the next two decades[4].

## Sources

[1] The Big Lie About Rare Earth Elements: They’re Not Rare at All!

[2] Rare earth elements

[3] French-American chemist makes major breakthrough in recycling of rare earths FRANCE 24 English

[4] Rare Earth Elements | 60 Minutes Archive

[5] Rare earths crunch? Why we need them and who has them | Business Beyond

[6] How China controls the elements that power your life

[7] How China won the rare earth race against the U.S. | About That

[8] How China outsmarted Europe and the US on rare earths | Business Beyond

[9] Can China’s rare earth dominance ever be challenged?

[10] Crystal Found Inside a Plant Could Transform Rare Earth Mining Industry

[11] Rare Earths Processing: Past, Present, and Future

[12] Rare Earth | The Toxic Truth Behind Clean Energy

[13] As More Countries Race To Mine Rare Earths, Can China’s Dominance Be Broken? | When Titans Clash

[14] Japan Finds Rare Earth in Deep-Sea Mission; Discovery Amid Rising Tensions with China | WION

[15] This invisible Norwegian mine could solve Europe’s rare earth problem

[16] Rare Earths Are China’s Trump Card In The trade war – How The U.S. Is Trying To Fix That

[17] Why Mining In Greenland Is So Hard | Business Insider

[18] China’s secret ingredient in warfare found in Australian rare earth | 60 Minutes Australia

[19] How rare earth mining threatens traditional ways of life in Sweden | Focus on Europe

[20] Trade War Explained: The Rare Earth Metals China Dominates and US needs

[21] THE HUGE ENVIRONMENTAL COST AND ADVERSE HEALTH EFFECTS ON RARE EARTH MINING

[22] Ramping Up Rare Earth Mining In The USA - Autoline Exclusives

[23] Illegal Rare Earth Mining in Myanmar | The Index Podcast

[24] How Brazil is Taking on China's Grip on Rare Earths

[25] How This Tech Can Break China's Rare Earth Monopoly | Dr. James Tour

[26] Why Ramaco Says It Can Beat Its Government-Backed Rival For Rare Earth Supremacy

[27] Industry leans on large SoCal rare-earth mine amid growing trade war

[28] Can Australia solve the world's Rare Earths problem? | If You're Listening

[29] Why Trump's Rare Earth Deal with Ukraine Doesn't Make Sense[29] Why Trump's Rare Earth D

[30] US seeks critical minerals trading block with allies to break China's dominance| DW News